



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Upon examiner reconsideration of applicants prior arguments in the remarks of 6/10/2004, discussed how claim 1 requires additional element from claim 2 dealing with "further obstructing the person..." (i.e., subsequent obstruction after the first obstruction). The examiner proposed appropriate changes via examiner amendment, into claim 1 (adding claim 2 element to claim 1 language, and modifying reference in claim 3 to now reference claim 1 instead of claim 2) that would sufficiently narrow the scope and distinguish the invention for allowance. Mr. Agarwal obtained the approval of the applicant and stipulated that the applicant may file a continuation for the broader claim(s).